



Australian  
National  
University

ENERGY CHANGE  
INSTITUTE

*Zero-Carbon Energy for the Asia-Pacific Grand Challenge  
Grand Challenge Papers*

## **GCP02-19 Retrospective and Prospective of the Hydrogen Supply Chain: A Longitudinal Techno-historical Analysis**

Dr Kaveh R. Khalilpour<sup>1</sup> and Prof Ron Pace<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> ZCEAP Grand Challenge and Monash University

<sup>2</sup>ZCEAP Grand Challenge and ANU Research School of Chemistry

November 2018

# **Retrospective and Prospective of the Hydrogen Supply Chain: A Longitudinal Techno-historical Analysis**

Lead investigators: Dr Kaveh R. Khalilpour and Prof Ron Pace

Grand Challenge Program:

Zero Carbon Energy for the Asia Pacific

November 2018

# **Executive highlights**

## **Background**

- The main goal of this research project was to investigate the historical development of hydrogen research and its status quo.
- We retrieved, unexpectedly, 58006 records which made conventional literature search impractical.
- Alternatively, we took a scientometric network analysis approach and investigated the social network of the publication contents based on keywords co-occurrence as well as international collaboration ties.

## **Some findings**

- ~93% of the publications have appeared after the Kyoto Protocol (1997).
- ~62% of the publications have appeared since 2010 (the era of renewables revolution).
- While all components of hydrogen supply chain research are now growing, the topic areas of biohydrogen and photo-catalysis seem to be growing the fastest.
- As of mid-2018, Australia is the world's 12<sup>th</sup> ranked country on hydrogen research.
- Given that Australia is the world's 53<sup>rd</sup> country, in terms of population, the country's per capita publication ranking, places it within the top five countries in hydrogen research.
- Australia's main collaboration ties include China, United States, UK, Germany, Japan, India, and France. More specifically, Australia, the UK, and Japan form a cluster with a strong tie with China.

## Executive summary

Hydrogen is the main constituent of the visible universe and the origin of solar energy. It does not exist on Earth in isolated form, but is a constituent of many common molecules. It can be obtained only through their chemical dissociation. One of the most common molecular sources is water, but this pathway is energetically expensive. As such, the chemical industry has traditionally resourced its hydrogen mostly from fossil fuel decomposition, in processes called reforming or gasification.

In recent years, however, growing sustainability concerns together with renewable technology improvements (such as photovoltaic cells and wind turbines) have increased interest in renewable-based hydrogen production, mainly through water splitting and hydrocarbon biocatalysis<sup>1</sup>. Production of low-cost hydrogen, particularly in locations with high-quality renewable resources, can lead to the development of a global clean energy supply chain based on hydrogen as a vector.

The main goal of this research project was to investigate the historical development of hydrogen research and its status quo. From the Scopus database, 58,006 *relevant articles, published from 1935 until mid-2018, were retrieved. This accounts for around 0.1% of all global publications since the mid-19<sup>th</sup> century. These data place hydrogen research within the list of the most important international research topics.*

With this massive volume of publication records, conventional approach of literature review proved impractical. Consequently, we took a scientometric network analysis approach and investigated the social network of the publication contents based on keywords co-occurrence as well as international collaboration ties.

An interesting observation was that despite publications on hydrogen occurring since 1935, growth of this research field ignited with the Kyoto Protocol of 1997. The publication profile reveals that more than 93% of the existing records have been published over the last two decades.

The first decade of this millennium witnessed dramatic uptake of some renewable energy types. Photovoltaic cell installations have increased from negligible levels in the 2000s, to 500 GW capacity in 2018. There are almost identical records for wind turbines. The accelerated growth of renewables has further motivated hydrogen research with almost 36000 academic records having been indexed from 2010 till mid-2018. This accounts for ~62% of the total historical publications on hydrogen. *All these data imply a very strong international determination in hydrogen community for “crossing the chasm”.*

Keyword network analysis reveals that until the 1970s, biological hydrogen generation was the most significant research area. In the 1980s, another strong cluster was formed with “hydrogen generation”, in connection with coal and fuel cells. By the 1990s, hydrogen storage was

---

<sup>1</sup> Biological conversion of hydrocarbons (e.g. glucose) to hydrogen, aka biohydrogen



identified as a critical challenge and a strong research cluster encompassing “hydrogen storage alloys” is observed. With the emergence of nanotechnology, hydrogen storage alloys and materials accounted for a significant portion of the research in this area, along with hydrogen generation research (from both renewable and non-renewable resources). Towards the late 2000s, hydrogen generation research became the main research cluster, with biohydrogen production also starting to form a small cluster. This trend has continued in the 2010s and the network maps show that over the last few years “photocatalysis” from visible light is emerging as a new strong research cluster. *In summary, while all components of hydrogen supply chain research are now growing, the topic areas of biohydrogen and photo-catalysis seem to be growing the fastest.*

Analysis of international collaboration networks also reveals a notable evolution of collaboration on hydrogen research. Until the 1970s, only 25 countries had collaborated, while this has reached 108 countries as of 2018. The collaborations have also evolved into a substantially more integrated network, with a few strong clusters involving China, the United States, Germany, and Japan. The longitudinal network evolution maps also reveal a shift, over the last two decades, from US-Europe centred technology development-interaction, to a world in which Asian economies play substantial roles.

Regarding Australia, that country was the 7<sup>th</sup> world ranked country on hydrogen research by 1980. After the Kyoto Protocol and a larger international attention to clean energies, the country’s rank declined to 11<sup>th</sup> by 2000. As of mid-2018, Australia is the world’s 12<sup>th</sup> ranked country on hydrogen research. Given that Australia is the world’s 53<sup>rd</sup> sized country, in terms of population, (24.5 million, 7.5% of the US and 1.7% of China), the country’s per capita publication ranking, places it within the top five countries in hydrogen research. Australia’s main collaboration ties include China, United States, UK, Germany, Japan, India, and France. More specifically, Australia, the UK, and Japan form a cluster with a strong tie with China.

**Keywords:** Renewable hydrogen; hydrogen economy; hydrogen vector; hydrogen supply chain; hydrogen production; hydrogen generation; hydrogen storage; hydrogen carrier; hydrogen value chain.

[illegible]

Note: Background colours close to red represent a higher density of nodes, while colours close to blue demonstrate lower density.

## 1. Background on hydrogen


Hydrogen discovery goes back to 1671 when Robert Boyle (1627-1691) produced the gas while experimenting with iron and acids. He described the reaction and called this gas “inflammable solution of iron” [1]. It took almost a century until in 1766 Henry Cavendish (1731 –1810) produced this gas over mercury and recognized it as a distinct element. He explained its properties accurately but failed in naming it correctly by describing it as "inflammable air from metals" as he thought the gas originated from the metal rather than the acid [2]. Finally, it was Antoine Lavoisier (1743–1794) who recognized the nature of the gas (1783) and proposed its current name “hydrogen”, composed of two Greek words "*hydro*" and "*genes*" meaning "*water*" and "*born of*".

Hydrogen is the most abundant element in the universe [3] and accounts for around 90% of the visible universe. In fact, the source of the so-called renewable energy that we receive from the sun or stars is the hydrogen fusion to helium. It is estimated that the sun's supply of hydrogen is enough for another 5 billion years [4].

Despite hydrogen abundancy, access to elemental hydrogen is cumbersome. It is not a constituent of air and the only pathways to obtain hydrogen involve chemical reactions. Even the early discovery of hydrogen was through chemical reaction, when Robert Boyle was experimenting with iron exposed acid ( $\text{Fe} + \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{SO}_4^{2-} + \text{H}_2$ ) [1].

Figure 1 illustrates various pathways for hydrogen production. The most straightforward pathway is water splitting, but this approach has not been traditionally favoured due to its high energy demand. As such, the widely used approach has been fossil fuel reforming for syngas ( $\text{CO}/\text{H}_2$ ) generation, followed by water-gas shift (WGS) reactions ( $\text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2$ ), and  $\text{CO}_2$  removal. Although coal, biomass, and oil gasification are also possible approaches for syngas generation, natural gas is the preferred feed due to its high hydrogen/carbon (H/C) ratio.

From the 2010s, however, a new industrial and academic interest is observed in the hydrogen vector. The tipping point in some renewable technologies especially photovoltaic (PV) has revolutionised the energy industry including hydrogen. The increase in production scale together with several other reasons such as reductions in silicon price and the shift of global production to China resulted in a dramatic drop in PV costs to the extent that its market price has dropped far below \$1/W - beyond most optimistic imaginations in the 2000s. With this price, PV has passed the parity price of fossil fuels in several jurisdictions [5].



**Figure 1:** List of some key H<sub>2</sub> production pathways

The projection of a possible renewable energy over-supply has created a new story for the hydrogen economy, which is based mainly on water splitting, using renewably sourced energy. This approach reduces the long-lasting reliance of hydrogen on fossil fuels and underlies the new spike in hydrogen economy publications. It is projected that this current increasing trend may continue over time, as other renewable energy sources, such as solar-thermal, generate more opportunities for hydrogen production through water splitting. Moreover, hydrogen can be used as an energy storage medium for electricity generated from intermittent, renewable sources and also as an energy vector for areas requiring off-grid power supply.


The aim of this study is to assess the existing literature on the hydrogen supply chain and identify the retrospective and prospective components of this growing research field. Given the massive volume of publication records on this topic (>58000), conventional literature review is cumbersome. Consequently, we take a scientometric analysis approach and investigate the social network of the publication contents based on keywords co-occurrence as well as international collaboration ties.

## **2. Data and methods**

The publication dataset used in this study is derived from on publication records extracted from Scopus. This bibliometric website was searched for publications which included in their titles, abstracts, or keywords the terms "hydrogen production", "hydrogen generation", "hydrogen storage", "hydrogen carrier", "hydrogen vector", "hydrogen supply chain", "hydrogen value chain", and "hydrogen economy". These data-retrieval keywords, which are supposed to be the most common and over-arching terms of the field, are selected based on some expert inputs. The search, conducted in mid-2018, captured 58,006 publication records in English (see Figure 2). Here we explore the longitudinal dynamics of hydrogen research over the last 84 years, since the first record in 1935.

The analysis of overall publication trends presented above sought to capture all publications containing a particular term and therefore included paper abstracts and titles. However, the main analysis in this paper focuses only on the co-occurrence of "keywords" and "affiliation countries". The keywords of scientific papers are carefully selected by their authors to describe the main topic and the research focus of the article. Exploring these keywords and identifying the frequency and structure of their co-occurrence in scientific articles can reveal the knowledge structure of discipline [6, 7]. Word co-occurrence analysis is a technique that allows

researchers to establish similarity relations between different keywords. Using these relations, a network of concepts and ideas in a corpus may be constructed. Several studies have used this approach in fields such as renewable energy [6, 8], service innovation [9], ecology [10], climate change [11], polymer chemistry [12], zoonotic disease propagation [13], and information retrieval [14].



**Figure 2:** The keywords of study including: "hydrogen production", "hydrogen generation", "hydrogen storage", "hydrogen carrier", "hydrogen vector", "hydrogen supply chain", "hydrogen value chain", and "hydrogen economy" (upper: annual profile, lower: accumulate profile)

Similarly, a network of the countries contributing and collaborating on research publications in a discipline can be drawn. This network and its evolution over time can be used to identify major countries conducting collaborative research and how such collaborations formed and evolved across the discipline growth over time. Prior research on countries collaboration networks has been applied to different fields such as simulation-optimization of supply chains [15], library and information science [16], coronary heart disease [17], e-government [18], and carbon capture and storage [11].

This study examines two networks namely countries collaboration network and keywords co-occurrence network (countries network and keywords network for short). Assuming that two terms are more related if they appear together more frequently in scientific papers [6, 19], we can construct valued networks. Each network consists of a set of nodes and ties connecting certain nodes together. In the former network, each node represents a country. In the latter network, nodes are keywords. A tie between two country nodes means they co-published a scientific paper, while a tie between two keyword nodes is an indication of the two keywords appearing in the list of keywords in a paper.

We use two different modes of viewing networks. In the label view, each node is represented by a circle and its corresponding label. The sizes of the circles and labels vary, based on the weight of the nodes. The weight of a node is assigned as the total strength of all the links to the node. To avoid overlapping, not all labels are shown. In the density view (heat map), the background of the labels is displayed in colours ranging from red to blue. Background colours close to red represent a higher density of nodes, while colours close to blue demonstrate lower density. Density is defined based on the number of nodes in the area and their weights. This view can be used to identify important areas of a network [20, 21].


In the next section, we present the analysis results and the pattern of keyword network evolution over time.

### **3. Evolution of hydrogen research**


#### **3.1. Hydrogen research map until 1980**

As seen from the annual publication profile (see Figure 2), there was only a small number of publications (less than 100 records) from the first instance in 1935 until 1970. The 1970s was a critical decade in the context of energy, in which the international energy crisis caused a spike in attention towards renewable energy sources, including hydrogen. By the end of this decade,

the total number of publication records reached 725. The keywords interactivity network for the publications until 1980 are illustrated in Figure 3 which shows two main clusters around acetate production and Bacteroids. Both of these clusters are related to nitrogen fixation and bacterial production of hydrogen. The biological nitrogen fixation (BNF) involves conversion of atmospheric nitrogen to ammonia by nitrogenase enzyme. In this process 16 equivalents of ATP are hydrolysed and further to ammonia one molecule of hydrogen is produced. The overall BNF reaction is [22]:



Along with these two clusters, a new cluster “hydrogen production” is also observed in the centre of Figure 3 which seems to be the emerging field of hydrogen supply chain. This hypothesis can be investigated in the network maps of the following decades.




**Figure 3:** Research network map (heat map) for all records until 1980. Background colours close to red represent a higher density of nodes, while colours close to blue demonstrate lower density.

### 3.2. Hydrogen research map until 1990

During the 1980s, research on hydrogen evolved and publication records increased more than three-folds, from 725 at the beginning of 1980 to 2594 by the end of 1980s. A small bump in publication profile is also observable in Figure 2 for this period. The keyword interactivity network for the publications until 1990 is shown in Figure 4 which depicts the creation of two zones. That on the right-side represents the continuation of the bacterial hydrogen research which appeared in the previous period (see Figure 3). However, the zone on the left side with dominant keywords of “hydrogen generation”, “metal hydrides”, “coal” and “fuel cell” refers to the development of today’s hydrogen supply chain. This cluster is in fact the developed form of the cluster which appeared in the centre of the map for 1970s (see Figure 3). *Therefore, we can confidently associate the emergence of today’s hydrogen supply chain research to the energy crisis of the 1970s.*






**Figure 4:** Research network map (heat map) for all records until 1990

### 3.3. Hydrogen research map until 2000

Hydrogen research confronted a paradoxical situation in the 1990s. This decade witnessed some international movements on climate change mitigation, including the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) development in 1992 and consequential Kyoto Protocol of the 1997. At the same time, the low oil price during this decade hindered R&D and speed of investment for clean energy development. As a result, the hydrogen publication trend, during most of this decade, shows a similar to the previous decade. But, from the year after the Kyoto Protocol, the publication trend shows an acceleration, and by the beginning of the year 2000, the publication records reach 4822 (close to double of that a decade ago). *As evident from Figure 2, the Kyoto Protocol of the 1997 should be considered as the “burst point” of hydrogen research.*


The keywords interactivity network for publications until 2000 is shown in Figure 5. It is evident from the figure that compared to earlier decades (Figure 3 and Figure 4), the field is developed and integrated. It is also evident that several research problems have emerged, the highlight being the “hydrogen storage alloys”. *It seems by the year 2000 the research community had identified hydrogen storage materials as the key challenge facing hydrogen supply chain development.*



**Figure 5:** Research network map (heat map) for all records until 2000

### 3.4. Hydrogen research map until 2005


During the first five years of the 2000s, the publication records almost doubled, reaching 8846 (Figure 2). The updated network map of the keywords (Figure 6), including this period, shows the emergence of a new cluster “hydrogen storage material” close to “hydrogen storage alloy”. *It is noteworthy that this is the time at which the fields of nanoscience and nanotechnology were emerging, and these found several applications in hydrogen-related material synthesis.*



**Figure 6:** Research network map (heat map) for all records until 2005

### 3.5. Hydrogen research map until 2010

By the year 2010, the publication records jumped substantially and reached 22134 (Figure 2). Figure 7 shows the updated network map of the keywords until 2010. One interesting observation from a comparison of Figure 6 and Figure 7, is that during the second half of the 2000s, hydrogen production became the main research cluster (see the left side of Figure 7). Figure 8 shows the zoomed image of the hydrogen production cluster and its co-occurrence links. The key clusters relevant to Hydrogen production include hydrogen conversion pathways (pink-colour cluster), fuel cells and distributed renewable energies (purple cluster), catalysis and kinetics (green cluster), and biohydrogen (khaki cluster) (see Figure 8 lower).




**Figure 7:** Research network map (heat map) for all records until 2010



### 3.6. Hydrogen research map until 2015

The 2010s have indeed been a revolutionary decade in renewable energy uptake especially involving photovoltaics (PV) and wind power. The photovoltaic cell installations have reached from a trivial value in the 2000s to 500 GW in 2018, and there are almost identical records for wind turbines. This global trend is also observable in hydrogen research. Over the five years from 2010 until 2015, the publication record totals grew beyond all optimistic expectation, almost doubling to 40,800 records (Figure 2). Figure 9 shows the updated network map of the keywords until 2015. The observable difference in Figure 9 compared to the previous period, is the emergence of “water splitting” as a new cluster. Biohydrogen also starts to build a stronger cluster, somewhat in isolation from other clusters. The other growing research is “steam reforming”.



**Figure 9:** Research network maps for all records until 2015 (upper: heat map network; lower: label network)

### 3.7. Hydrogen research map until mid-2018


There are some research fields with total publications records in the order of a few thousands. The hydrogen field, however, has seen publication records of over 3000, annually, from the beginning of year 2010, reaching 5008 records in the year 2017. During this decade (2010-mid-2018), close to 36000 academic records have been indexed, accounting for ~62% of the



total historical publications on hydrogen. *All these data imply a very strong international determination to make hydrogen vector pass the chasm.*

Furthermore, the total publication records on hydrogen research as of mid-2018 include 58006 items. This accounts for about 0.1% of total publication records on the Scopus database. This value by itself implies that as of today, hydrogen is one of the most highly-researched fields across all academic disciplines.

Following on the longitudinal development of the research fields, the comparison of Figure 10 (for mid-2018) with Figure 9 (for 2015) shows the emergence of two new clusters over the last few years i.e. biohydrogen and photocatalysis. The slightly isolated biohydrogen cluster may imply that biohydrogen research is carried out in different academic disciplines (i.e. Biology and its associates). Photocatalysis research however has tight connection with both hydrogen production and hydrogen storage research fields. *Therefore, it is concludable that while all components of hydrogen supply chain research are in acceleration pace, the topics of biohydrogen and photocatalysis seem to be growing faster.*



**Figure 10:** Research network maps for all records until mid-2018 (upper: heat map network; lower: label network)

### **3.8. Statistics of co-occurring keywords and co-occurrence ties**

In the previous section, the historical evolution of hydrogen research (keywords) was illustrated and discussed over seven time periods, between 1935 and 2018. Here, we investigate the statistics of the co-occurring keywords and their co-occurrence ties. We evaluate the strength of co-occurrences with two indicators; the number of occurring keywords (NOK) and the number of co-occurrence ties (NOT). Table 1 shows the top 30 keywords that appeared most often with other keywords in the author keywords list of publications, together with the number of times such co-occurrences happened, at three time intervals (from 1935) until 1980, 2000, and 2018. As of 1980, “hydrogen production” was the top keyword followed by “nitrogen fixation”, “acetylene reduction”, and “nitrogenase”. By 2000, the data reveal that hydrogen storage, particularly in metal hydrides, became the key focus. The top keywords of this period include “hydrogen storage alloy”, “hydrogen production”, “hydrogen storage”, “metal hydride”, and “metal hydride electrode.” As of 2018, the keywords reveal that research attention is drawn to all aspects of the hydrogen supply chain, the top key words being “hydrogen production”, “hydrogen storage”, and “hydrogen generation”. Two keywords, *vis.* “photocatalysis” and “biohydrogen”, appear to have received increasing attention in recent years (5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> of top keywords list in Table 1).

**Table 1:** Top 30 co-occurring keywords and co-occurrence ties on hydrogen research by the years 1980, 2000, and 2018


1980				2000				2018			
No.	Keyword	NOK*	NOT**	No.	Keyword	NOK*	NOT**	No.	Keyword	NOK*	NOT**
1	Hydrogen Production	5	24	1	Hydrogen Storage Alloy	122	75	1	Hydrogen Production	6926	432
2	Hydrogen	5	25	2	Hydrogen Production	119	51	2	Hydrogen	5629	451
3	Nitrogen Fixation	2	13	3	Hydrogen	96	68	3	Hydrogen Storage	5243	340
4	Acetylene Reduction	2	11	4	Hydrogen Storage	61	47	4	Hydrogen Generation	1456	298
5	Nitrogenase	2	11	5	Metal Hydride	34	35	5	Photocatalysis	1270	219
6	Gas Chromatography	2	8	6	Metal Hydride Electrode	28	24	6	Biohydrogen	1206	162
7	Fuel	2	7	7	Hydrogenase	26	22	7	Hydrogen Storage Material	1202	182
8	Storage	2	7	8	Hydride	24	33	8	Water Splitting	1125	226
9	Hydrogen Generation	2	9	9	Mechanical Alloying	19	19	9	Fuel Cell	1121	299
10	Cyanobacteria	1	4	10	Kinetics	19	32	10	Hydrogen Storage Alloy	1105	149
11	Enrichment Cultures	1	4	11	Hydrogen Generation	18	17	11	Steam Reforming	882	191
12	Photosynthesis	1	4	12	Nickel-metal Hydride Battery	16	17	12	Metal Hydride	853	211
13	Rhodospirillum Rubrum	1	3	13	Fuel Cell	15	12	13	Catalyst	632	265
14	Determination of CO <sub>2</sub> In Hydrogen Chloride	1	3	14	Hydrogen Absorption	13	12	14	Biomass	627	209
15	Enrichment	1	3	15	Electrochemical Property	13	10	15	Kinetics	603	239
16	Headspace Technique	1	3	16	Discharge Capacity	12	14	16	Gasification	498	131
17	Ppm Level	1	3	17	Microstructure	12	18	17	Hydrogen Evolution	471	144
18	Hydrogen Carrier Gas	1	3	18	Nitrogenase	12	11	18	Renewable Energy	470	186
19	Laboratory Safety	1	3	19	Catalysis	12	15	19	Methane	459	182
20	Leakage Detection with A Gas Monitor	1	3	20	Electrode	12	17	20	Dark Fermentation	455	114
21	FeTiH and LaNi <sub>5</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	1	2	21	Cyanobacteria	11	15	21	Xray Diffraction	443	119
22	Proton Diffusion	1	2	22	LaNi <sub>5</sub>	11	14	22	Biohydrogen Production	440	95
23	Ti <sub>2</sub> NiH <sub>2</sub>	1	2	23	Mg <sub>2</sub> Ni	11	16	23	Hydrolysis	433	149
24	Metal Hydride	1	3	24	Methane	11	12	24	Photocatalyst	430	138
25	Ammine Coordinate Compound	1	7	25	Nickel	11	14	25	Nickel	422	214
26	Ammonium	1	7	26	Nitrogen Fixation	11	12	26	Ethanol	409	144
27	Complex Hydroxide	1	7	27	Laves Phase	10	11	27	Sodium Borohydride	406	113
28	Double Precatalytic Decomposition	1	7	28	Fermentation	10	13	28	Microstructure	397	123
29	Environmental	1	7	29	Electrochemical Properties	10	13	29	Electrolysis	381	169
30	Precatalytic Process	1	7	30	Hydrogen Diffusion	10	10	30	Adsorption	380	136

NOK\* : number of keyword occurrence; NOT\*\* : number of co-occurrence ties

## 4. Evolution of international collaboration on hydrogen

### 4.1. Collaboration network as of 1980

Given that by the 1980s, only about 725 publications on hydrogen had appeared, it would not be expected to observe a strong pattern of international collaboration up till that time. The publication record shows that only 25 countries were by then involved in hydrogen research. The collaboration network map (Figure 11) of this period displays clearly the weakness of international collaborations. The figure shows two islands. That on the left has two clusters, the main one composed of the United States and Germany, and the other of Japan and Australia. The right island includes a few European countries with Canada. But as the label map shows, the ties between the countries are weak. The top three publishing countries, as of this time, are the United States, Japan and Germany. However, the top collaborating countries (with highest ties) are the United States, Germany, and Switzerland.




**Figure 11:** International collaboration network maps for all hydrogen records until 1980 (upper: heat map network; lower: label network)



#### 4.2. Collaboration network as of 1990


By 1990, the number of countries generating publications on hydrogen had almost doubled, compared to the previous decade (48 vs. 25). Figure 12 shows the collaboration network maps as of 1990. Comparison of this figure with the previous decade (Figure 11) clearly shows the emergence of more countries and more collaborations. However, the figure also shows the presence of various islands, implying weak global inter-connection. By this time the US had emerged as the world's top contributor to hydrogen research. US researchers had co-authored almost a quarter of the publications recorded. The next two top publishing countries were Japan and Germany. These three countries also had the top international collaboration ties, the values being 59, 20, and 16 for the US, Japan, and Germany, respectively. Canada, France, and Italy were the other top collaborators.



**Figure 12:** International collaboration network maps for all hydrogen records until 1990 (upper: heat map network; lower: label network)

### 4.3. Collaboration network as of 2000


By the 2000, the number of countries with publication on hydrogen increased to 59 countries. By 2000, the number of countries with publication outputs involving hydrogen had increased to 59. Figure 13 shows the collaboration network maps as of 2000. The growth of international collaboration is evident. In this period, the top publishing countries were the US, Japan and Germany, respectively. However, during this period, following the US with 129 international collaboration ties, Germany had the second highest number of ties, 61, with Japan being the third, with 49 ties. China also emerged as the world's fourth top publishing country, although it had a relatively lower number of international ties, totalling 17. Despite Canada and the United Kingdom having lower publications than China, they both had higher numbers of international ties, at 37. Figure 13 also shows the emergence of an Asian research cluster at the top right of the figure, in which China, Japan, and South Korea have developing ties.



**Figure 13:** International collaboration network maps for all hydrogen records until 2000 (upper: heat map network; lower: label network)

#### 4.4. Collaboration network as of 2005


Figure 14 shows the collaboration network map as of 2005. By this time, the publication outputs had reached 8846, from 74 countries. China had become the world's third ranked country in hydrogen research, after the US and Japan. However, Germany as the fourth ranked country in terms of publications output, had the second highest number of international collaboration ties, after the US. Japan, and China were the third and fourth in terms of collaboration ties.



**Figure 14:** International collaboration network maps for all hydrogen records until 2005 (upper: heat map network; lower: label network)

#### 4.5. Collaboration network as of 2010


Figure 15 shows the collaboration network map as of 2010. In this period, we first observe the development of a tightly integrated international collaboration network. Within this time, the publication output was 3664, from 84 countries. China had become the world's second highest research producing country in hydrogen, after the US. While Japan, and Germany were the third and fourth publishing countries, South Korea had rapidly advanced to the fifth top publishing position. In terms of international collaboration ties, Germany was still the second ranked country after the US, followed by China and Japan. The total scale of collaboration ties was also notable. For instance, in the 2000 period, the US as the world's top collaborating country, had 119 international ties, while by 2010 this had reached 1141, with all top 10 publishing countries having at least 150 international collaboration ties each.



**Figure 15:** International collaboration network maps for all hydrogen records until 2010 (upper: heat map network; lower: label network)

#### 4.6. Collaboration network as of 2015

By the year 2015, China became the first country in terms of publication quantity, with US becoming the second, though with almost close quantities (8807 vs 8745). However, in terms of collaboration ties, US had much stronger collaboration than China (2542 vs 1564). Figure 16 shows the international collaboration network as of 2015, and the strong cluster around the US. The two countries also show the strongest collaborations with 405 co-publications. During this period, Japan, Germany, South Korea, and France are the 3<sup>rd</sup> to 6<sup>th</sup> top publishing countries, respectively. Germany, however, has the third top international collaboration ties (1285) followed by France (1211). South Korea, despite being the fifth publishing countries is the 10<sup>th</sup> in terms of collaboration ties.




**Figure 16:** International collaboration network maps for all hydrogen records until 2015 (upper: heat map network; lower: label network)



#### 4.7. Collaboration network as of 2018

Figure 17 shows the international collaboration network on hydrogen supply chain research as of mid-2018, which is based on 58,006 publications. Compared to two decades earlier (Figure 17 vs. Figure 11 to Figure 16), there is indeed a tight international collaboration network, with 108 countries participating and 17,548 total collaboration ties. China, the US, Japan, Germany, and South Korea are the top countries in terms of research. But the top five collaborating countries and their tie totals are; the US (4244), China (3769), Germany (2224), France (1881), the UK (1769), and Japan (1757).



**Figure 17:** International collaboration network maps for all hydrogen records until mid-2018 (upper: heat map network; lower: label network)

#### **4.8. Statistics of international collaborators and collaboration ties on hydrogen**

In the previous section, the historical evolution of collaborations between individual counties was discussed, over seven different time periods. Here, we summarise the longitudinal evolution of those networks by exploring the statistics of the collaborating countries and their collaboration ties. We assess strength of collaboration with three indicators, the number of publications (NOP), the number of countries in the collaboration (NOC), and the number of co-occurrence ties (NOT). Table 2 shows the top 30 countries, noting that as of 1980 only 25 countries were conducting research on hydrogen. The historical top three countries with influence in this field are the United States, Japan, and Germany, respectively. China is not seen in the list of countries conducting hydrogen research, up to 1980. By 1990, that country becomes 13th among the other countries. By the year 2000, it has become the 4th. By 2010, its publication output became the second in the world, after United States, while by 2015 it became the leading country in hydrogen research output. It is noteworthy however, that although China's publication record exceeds that of the United States, the United States still has the strongest collaboration ties (NOC and NOT). As of 2018, the United States has collaborated with 81 countries on 4244 publications, while China's international collaborations includes 63 countries on 3769 publications.


**Table 2:** Top 30 countries in terms of publications and international collaborations (ties) on hydrogen research as of 1980, 2000, and 2018

1980					2000					2018				
No.	Country	NOP*	NOC**	NOT***	No.	Country	NOP*	NOC**	NOT***	No.	Country	NOP*	NOC**	NOT***
1	United States	181	5	7	1	United States	1153	29	129	1	China	15158	63	3769
2	Japan	51	2	3	2	Japan	767	13	49	2	United States	11008	81	4244
3	Germany	28	5	6	3	Germany	295	22	61	3	Japan	5285	58	1757
4	United Kingdom	25	2	3	4	China	276	6	17	4	Germany	3038	72	2224
5	Switzerland	17	3	4	5	Canada	218	15	37	5	South Korea	2865	59	1091
6	France	12	2	2	6	United Kingdom	177	15	37	6	India	2640	58	892
7	Australia	10	2	2	7	India	169	5	13	7	France	2347	71	1881
8	Canada	8	2	3	8	France	163	14	45	8	Canada	2325	62	1223
9	Italy	6	2	2	9	Switzerland	92	14	24	9	United Kingdom	2310	70	1769
10	Russia	6	0	0	10	Russia	86	9	20	10	Italy	2131	62	1366
11	Netherlands	5	1	1	11	Australia	84	8	17	11	Spain	1659	65	1136
12	Israel	4	1	1	12	Italy	82	9	31	12	Australia	1379	53	1104
13	Norway	3	1	2	13	South Korea	67	4	15	13	Taiwan	1291	38	394
14	Austria	3	0	0	14	Netherlands	59	5	10	14	Turkey	1038	40	323
15	Hungary	3	0	0	15	Israel	35	5	13	15	Russia	929	53	635
16	Sweden	2	1	1	16	Austria	35	5	7	16	Iran	889	42	312
17	Belgium	2	0	0	17	Sweden	34	5	8	17	Netherlands	886	49	778
18	Guatemala	1	0	0	18	Spain	31	5	10	18	Brazil	798	49	355
19	South Africa	1	0	0	19	Egypt	24	2	4	19	Switzerland	702	51	738
20	Ireland	1	1	1	20	Brazil	23	4	7	20	Malaysia	680	41	369
21	Iraq	1	0	0	21	Saudi Arabia	23	3	6	21	Poland	670	45	422
22	Czech Republic	1	0	0	22	Denmark	20	4	4	22	Sweden	620	54	662
23	India	1	0	0	23	Belgium	20	3	3	23	Saudi Arabia	572	46	589
24	Argentina	1	0	0	24	Taiwan	19	1	1	24	Mexico	562	39	262
25	Poland	1	0	0	25	Bulgaria	19	4	8	25	Singapore	519	39	436
26					26	Argentina	15	3	4	26	Greece	503	40	377
27					27	Hungary	14	3	4	27	Thailand	473	31	227
28					28	Finland	13	1	1	28	Denmark	459	47	583
29					29	Poland	12	5	6	29	Norway	452	38	447
30					30	Mexico	11	4	5	30	Argentina	407	30	200

NOP\*: number of publications; NOC\*\*: number of collaborating countries ties; NOT\*\*\*: number of co-occurrence ties (international collaborations)



Figure 18 profiles the historical development of international hydrogen research in terms of the number of publishing countries and the total number of collaboration ties (NOT). While by 1980, there were only 25 countries involved in hydrogen related research, by 2018, this number had increased, almost linearly, finally reaching 108 countries. However, the international collaboration ties have increased near exponentially since 2000. The total number international ties by 1980 was only 19, becoming 321 by 2000. Within a decade, by 2010, this value increased by more than ten-fold to 3664. As of mid-2018, there were 17,548 international collaboration ties, an astonishing expansion over a relatively short period of time.




**Figure 18:** Historical development of international hydrogen research: Number of publishing countries, and the total collaboration ties

## 5. Evolution of hydrogen research in Australia

Australia has historically been one of the leading countries in hydrogen research. As of 1980, Australia, was the world's 7th ranked country on hydrogen research. After the Kyoto Protocol and consequent increased international attention to clean energies, despite Australia's publication growth, the country's rank declined to 11th by 2000. As of mid-2018 Australia is the world's 12th ranked country on hydrogen research. Given that Australia is the world's 53<sup>rd</sup>

sized country, in terms of population, (24.5 million, 7.5% of the US and 1.7% of China), the country's per capita publication ranking, places it in the top five countries in hydrogen research. Figure 19 shows Australia's international ties in hydrogen research, as of mid-2018. For the 1379 publication records, Australia has developed 1104 international ties with institutions from 53 countries. As evident from Figure 19, the country's main collaboration ties China (398), US (124), UK (67), Germany (56), Japan (40), India (37), and France (28).



**Figure 19:** Australian international collaboration network map on hydrogen as of mid-2018

## Conclusion

The initial objective of this study was to undertake a detailed literature review of the evolution of hydrogen related research, since the industrial revolution. This was to understand both the retrospective and prospective elements underlying historical development of the total hydrogen supply chain search process, internationally. However, given the mass of publication records on this topic (>58,000), conventional literature review was totally impracticable. Consequently, we followed a scientometric analysis approach and developed a 'social network' of publication records retrieved from Scopus database. Two types of networks were created, based on keyword co-occurrence and establishment of international collaboration ties. The

methodology enabled us to analyse the longitudinal development of this field and explore the emerging research focus.

The progressive time series analyses we have used, with both network types, allows a detailed appreciation of not only the paths taken in historical development of hydrogen research, but crucially, informs sensible expectation of the important directions ahead, for technology and international interaction. This is very relevant, given the near ‘exponential’ growth of inter-country involvements evidenced in Figure 18. The research network maps highlight the continued focus on hydrogen storage, as well as the more historically established interest in hydrogen production and the technology stages that has advanced through. Nevertheless, while all components of hydrogen supply chain research are now growing, the topic areas of biohydrogen and photo-catalysis seem to be growing the fastest.

Further the collaboration network analyses dramatically reveal the changes in the world economic/technological balance over the last several decades. This has seen a shift from US-Europe centred technology development-interaction, to a world in which Asian economies play substantial roles. In hydrogen research, this occurred initially in their local region (Figure 13), but recently, essentially globally amongst industrial countries. For Australia, which our analyses reveal to be a strong player in hydrogen related research, the emergence of Japan and lately China, as partners for hydrogen technology development and implementation, is clearly revealed in Figure 18.

## References

1. Boyle, R., *Tracts, Containing New Experiments, Touching the Relation Betwixt Flame and Air*. 1673, London: Richard Davis.
2. Cavendish, H., *XIX. Three papers, containing experiments on factitious air*. Philosophical Transactions, 1766. **56**: p. 141-184.
3. Heiserman, D.L., *Exploring Chemical Elements and Their Compounds*. 1992: Tab Books.
4. Seeds, M.A., D. Backman, and M.M. Montgomery, *Horizons: Exploring the Universe, Enhanced*. 2016: Cengage Learning.
5. Khalilpour, K.R. and A. Vassallo, *Community Energy Networks With Storage: Modeling Frameworks for Distributed Generation*. 2016: Springer Singapore.
6. Romo-Fernandez, L.M., V.P. Guerrero-Bote, and F. Moya-Anegón, *Co-word based thematic analysis of renewable energy (1990-2010)*. Scientometrics, 2013. **97**(3): p. 743-765.
7. Yi, S. and J. Choi, *The organization of scientific knowledge: the structural characteristics of keyword networks*. Scientometrics, 2012. **90**(3): p. 1015-1026.
8. Khalilpour, K.R., *Polygeneration with Polystorage: For Chemical and Energy Hubs*. 2018: Elsevier Science.

9. Zhu, W.J. and J.C. Guan, *A bibliometric study of service innovation research: based on complex network analysis*. Scientometrics, 2013. **94**(3): p. 1195-1216.
10. Budilova, E.V., J.A. Drogalina, and A.T. Teriokhin, *Principal trends in modern ecology and its mathematical tools: An analysis of publications*. Scientometrics, 1997. **39**(2): p. 147-157.
11. Karimi, F. and R. Khalilpour, *Evolution of carbon capture and storage research: Trends of international collaborations and knowledge maps*. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 2015. **37**: p. 362-376.
12. Callon, M., J.P. Courtial, and F. Laville, *Co-Word Analysis as a Tool for Describing the Network of Interactions between Basic and Technological Research - the Case of Polymer Chemistry*. Scientometrics, 1991. **22**(1): p. 155-205.
13. Hossain, L., et al., *Evolutionary longitudinal network dynamics of global zoonotic research*. Scientometrics, 2015. **103**(2): p. 337-353.
14. Ding, Y., G.G. Chowdhury, and S. Foo, *Bibliometric cartography of information retrieval research by using co-word analysis*. Information Processing & Management, 2001. **37**(6): p. 817-842.
15. Huerta-Barrientos, A., M. Elizondo-Cortes, and I.F. de la Mota, *Analysis of scientific collaboration patterns in the co-authorship network of Simulation-Optimization of supply chains*. Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory, 2014. **46**: p. 135-148.
16. Yan, E.J. and R. Guns, *Predicting and recommending collaborations: An author-, institution-, and country-level analysis*. Journal of Informetrics, 2014. **8**(2): p. 295-309.
17. Yu, Q., et al., *World scientific collaboration in coronary heart disease research*. International Journal of Cardiology, 2013. **167**(3): p. 631-639.
18. Khan, G.F. and H.W. Park, *The e-government research domain: A triple helix network analysis of collaboration at the regional, country, and institutional levels*. Government Information Quarterly, 2013. **30**(2): p. 182-193.
19. Ravikumar, S., A. Agrahari, and S.N. Singh, *Mapping the intellectual structure of scientometrics: a co-word analysis of the journal Scientometrics (2005–2010)*. Scientometrics, 2014: p. 1-27.
20. van Eck, N.J. and L. Waltman, *VOSviewer: A Computer Program for Bibliometric Mapping*. Proceedings of Issi 2009 - 12th International Conference of the International Society for Scientometrics and Informetrics, Vol 2, 2009. **2**: p. 886-897.
21. van Eck, N.J. and L. Waltman, *Software survey: VOSviewer, a computer program for bibliometric mapping*. Scientometrics, 2010. **84**(2): p. 523-538.
22. Postgate, J., *Nitrogen Fixation*. 1998: Cambridge University Press.